On the non homogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation.

Jean-Yves Larqué

e-mail: jeanyves.larque@gmail.com

Abstract: For the main, we first adapt a classical result of existence of a maximal local-in-time solution to the homogeneous incompressible Navier-Stokes equation to our particular framework in a slightly stronger form, and next prove the globality-in-time of the obtained solution and establish a kind of optimality of this result

Keywords: Non linear analysis; Navier-Stokes equation; Heat equation; Schwartz spaces; Soblolev spaces; Leray's projector

Introduction

As said in the Abstract, we first recall a main and classical result from the reference book [6] Vorticity and Incompressible Flow, §3: Energy Methods from A.J Majda and A.L Bertozzi, that we will both slightly strengthen and enlarge (non homogeneous equation) on one hand and, on the other weaken by a lost of generality, our framework being more restrictive: constraint in the Schwartz functionnal space and vorticity in the kernel-set $H^{\infty, f} = \bigcap_{m \ge 0} H^{m, f}$ of Sobolev spaces The proof of this new form of our starting result is closely similar for a very large part to the proof of the original result established in [6] p 96 to 112. Likewise, that of the kinetic

energy inequality, necessary in this work, in its processes, is similar to that of the usual kinetic energy equality [2] p 5. So, we will not give detailed proofs of these results, but only reference proof elements step by step and leave to the reader an eventual completed detailed re-writing.

In a second part, we will aboard the proof that the obtained solution is global-in-time using processes based, on the one hand, on Hilbert Theory and Heat Equation Theory, on the other, on a original method of *break and rebuilt* as we will see then.

Finally, in a third part, we will complete this work determining the stability domain of the Leray projector in the space of Schwartz functions and, so, obtain a kind of optimality of the preceding result.

Notations:

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, N \ge 3$

- 1. Spatial derivatives ∂^{β} , $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{N}$ are a priori in the distribution sense and the time derivative ∂_{t} always is in the Fréchet sense. (Notation from [2])
- 2. Ω an open subset in \mathbb{R}^m : $C^k(\Omega) = C^k(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 \le k \le +\infty$ For m = N, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N : C^k(\Omega)$ will be denoted C^k
- 3. Lebesgue spaces [7], [8] :

 $L^p = L^p \left(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N \right), \ 1 \leq p \leq \infty, \text{ norm: } |w|_{L^p}$

4. Schwartz spaces [8] :

$$S = S\left(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N\right), \ S^f = \{w \in S/div \, w = 0\}$$

semi-norms systems:

(1)
$$|w|^{i,m} = \sup_{|\beta| \leq m} \left| \left(1 + |x|^2 \right)^i \partial^\beta w(x) \right|_{L^{\infty}}, \quad i, m \ge 0$$

(2) $|w|^{(m)} = \sup_{\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\} \leq m} \left| x^\alpha \partial^\beta w \right|_{L^{\infty}}, m \ge 0$

$$\begin{split} S(0,T^*) &= S\left(\left[0,T^* \right[\times \mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N \right); \ 0 < T^* \leqslant +\infty \\ \text{semi-norms system: } ||w||^{i,m} &= \sup_{j+|\beta| \leqslant m} \left| \left(1 + t + |x|^2 \right)^i \partial_t^j \partial^\beta w\left(x \right) \right|_{L^\infty} \end{split}$$

5. Sobolev spaces [6], [8] and Leray's projector [2], [6]:

$$\begin{aligned} H^m &= H^m \left(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N \right), \quad \text{norm: } \|\|_m, \ m \ge 0 \\ \text{For all } m > N/_2, \ H^m \text{ is a Banach algebra and } \|v.w\|_m \leqslant c. \ \|v\|_m. \ \|w\|_m. \end{aligned}$$

Hodge theorem:

$$P: w \in H^m \to Pw \in H^m / \begin{vmatrix} div (Pw) = 0 \\ w = Pw + \nabla\phi \end{vmatrix}; \quad ||Pw||_m \leqslant ||w||_m, \ H^{m,f} = PH^m$$

Fourier expression of P:

$$Pw = (l.\widehat{w})^{\mathrm{v}}$$
 with $l_j^{k}(x) = \left(\delta_j^{k} - \frac{x_j x^k}{|x|^2}\right)$ [2]
where $w \to \widehat{w}$ and $w \to w^{\mathrm{v}}$ are the Fourier and co-Fourier transforms [1], [8]

I. Local-in-time Existence Theorem:

We define first the followings sets:

$$H^{\infty,f} = \bigcap_{m \ge 0} H^{m,f} \& H^{m\,f} = \{ w \in H^m / \operatorname{div} w = 0 \} / 0 \leqslant m \leqslant +\infty$$
$$C^k \left([0,T^*[\,,H^\infty) = \bigcap_{m \ge 0} C^k \left([0,T^*[\,,H^m) \ / \ 0 \leqslant k \leqslant +\infty, \ 0 < T^* \leqslant +\infty \right) \right)$$

Our reference results are the following:

[2] p 5: *I.3.2 Energy equality* : if $u_0 \in H^{0,f}$ and $u \in C^1([0,T^*), H^{0,f})$ are such as: $u(0,) = 0, \quad \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla u) = 0$ then u verifies the Energy equality:

$$\forall t \in [0, T^*), \ \frac{1}{2} |u(t,)|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t |\nabla u(s,)|_{L^2}^2 ds = \frac{1}{2} |u_0|_{L^2}^2$$

[6] Theorem 3.4 p 104. / Corollary 3.2 p 112: Given an initial condition $u_0 \in H^{m,f}$, $m \ge \lfloor N/2 \rfloor + 2$, then for any viscosity $\nu > 0$, there exists a maximal time of existence T^* (possibly infinite) and a unique solution $u \in C^0([0, T^*), H^{m,f}) \cap C^1([0, T^*), H^{m-2,f})$ to the Navier-Stokes equation u(0,) = 0, $\partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla u) = 0$.

We have to note that in this result T^* depends from m and that is the core of the problem to be solve.

We will modify these results as it follows:

Theorem I.1: Energy inequalities

Let $0 < T^* \leq +\infty$, $0 < m \leq +\infty$, $u_0 \in H^{m,f}, f \in S(0, +\infty), \nu > 0$, and $u \in C^1([0, T^*[, H^{m,f}])$ such that:

$$\partial_t u - \nu \,\Delta u + P\left(u.\nabla u\right) = Pf$$

then u verifies the energy inequalities:

$$\begin{split} {}^{1/2} |u(t,)|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \nu \int_{0}^{t} |\nabla u(s,)|_{L^{2}}^{2} ds \leqslant \int_{0}^{t} |u(s,)|_{L^{2}} . |Pf(s,)|_{L^{2}} ds + {}^{1/2} |u_{0}|_{L^{2}}^{2} \ (Eq.I.1) \\ \sup_{0 \leqslant t < T^{*}} |u(t,)|_{L^{2}} \leqslant 2 \int_{s \geqslant 0} |Pf(s,)|_{L^{2}} ds + |u_{0}|_{L^{2}} = E_{(u_{0},f)} \qquad (Eq.I.2) \end{split}$$

reference proof elements:

(Eq.I.1): [2] p 5. (Eq.I.2):

 $t \to u(t,) \text{ is continuous from } [0, T^*[\text{ to } L^2, \text{ and } \int_{s \ge 0} |Pf(s,)|_{L^2} ds < \infty \text{ if } f \in S(0, +\infty), \text{ see } [8],$ the processes used in [2] give us immediately: $\forall 0 < t < T^*, (\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |u(s,)|_{L^2})^2 - 2\left(\int_{s \ge 0} |Pf(s,)|_{L^2} ds\right) (\sup_{s \in [0,t]} |u(s,)|_{L^2}) - |u_0|_{L^2}^2 \le 0$

and the result follows.

Theorem I.2: Local-in-time existence For any initial velocity $u_0 \in H^{\infty, f}$ and external force $f \in S(0, +\infty)$, there exists one maximal interval $[0, T^*[, 0 < T^* \leq +\infty]$ and one and only one $u \in C^1([0, T^*[, H^{\infty, f}])$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} &(i) \quad \forall t \in [0, T^*[, \ div \ u \ (t,) = 0 \\ &(ii) \qquad u \ (0,) = u_0 \\ &(iii) \qquad \sup_{t \in [0, T^*[} |u \ (t,)|_{L^2} < \infty \\ &(iv) \quad \partial_t u - \nu \ \Delta u \ + P \ (u. \nabla u) = Pf \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have then:

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T^*[} |u(t,)|_{L^2} \leqslant E_{(u_0,f)} = 2 \int_{s \ge 0} |f(s,)|_{L^2} \, ds + |u_0|_{L^2} < \infty$$

$$\forall t \in [0, T^*[, u(t,)] \in C_0^\infty = \left\{ w \in C^\infty / \lim_{|x| \to +\infty} \partial^\alpha u(t,x) = 0, \forall \alpha \right\}$$

reference proof elements: [6] p 100 - 112:

first step: the regularized equation:

The regularized equation considered here is time-dependent (second member f(t,)), so, the Picard theorem is inadequate and has to be replaced by the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem; that does

not change the structure of the proof. The upper bound $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2} \leq E(u_0, f)$ obviously replaces $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v^{\varepsilon}|_{L^2} \leq |u_0|_{L^2}$ in ref. Eq (3.53).

Similarly to the reference, given that f belongs to $S(0,\infty)$, see [8], we have the bound:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|u^{\varepsilon,m}\left(t,\backslash,\right)\right\|_{m} \leq c\left(E\left(u_{0},f\right),\varepsilon\right)\left\|u^{\varepsilon,m}\right\|_{m} + \left\|f\left(t,\backslash,\right)\right\|_{m} \leq c\left(E\left(u_{0},f\right),\varepsilon\right)\left\|u^{\varepsilon,m}\right\|_{m} + k\left(f,m\right)\right)\left\|u^{\varepsilon,m}\right\|_{m} + k\left(f,m\right)\right\|_{m}$$

and then, easier here than Gronwall lemma, the general differential inequations theory, see [7] V, gives us

$$\left\| u^{\varepsilon, m}\left(T, \backslash, \right) \right\|_{m} \leq a e^{bT} \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left\| u^{\varepsilon, m}\left(T, \backslash, \right) \right\|_{m} \leq c a e^{bT} + k = M$$

The globality-in-time of each solution $u^{\varepsilon,m}$ follows and we have then, for all $m, u^{\varepsilon,m} = u^{\varepsilon} \in H^{\infty}$

second step: the local-in-time solution:

The insertion of f in the calculus modifies the " H^m energy estimate", [6] Eq. (3.58), as follows: $\exists c_m^{(1)} > 0, c_m^{(2)} > 0 : \forall \varepsilon > 0,$

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{m}^{2}+\nu\left\|J_{\varepsilon}\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{m}^{2}\leqslant c_{m}^{(1)}\left|\nabla J_{\varepsilon}u^{\varepsilon}\right|_{L^{\infty}}\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{m}^{2}+c_{m}^{(2)}\left\|u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{m}\left(EqI.3\right)$$

Here, we reach the point where we have to solve the problem of the time-dependence of time T^* and, for that, momentarily to diverge from the reference proof and, hence, to give a more explicit proof of this step:

Given the Sobolev Theorem, we have: $|J_e \nabla u^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}} \leq |\nabla u^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C ||u^{\varepsilon}||_{m_N} \leq C ||u^{\varepsilon}||_m$ for all $m \geq m_N = \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 2 > \frac{N}{2} + 1$ (EqI.4). It follows then from (Eq I.3):

for $m = m_N$: $\frac{d}{dt} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{m_N} \leq C.c_{m_N}^{(1)} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{m_N}^2 + c_{m_N}^{(2)}$, i.e. $\frac{d}{dt} \|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{m_N} \leq k_N \left(\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{m_N}^2 + 1\right)$ and, hence, integrating ([6] § VII): $\exists T_N = \frac{1}{k_N} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan \|u_0\|_{m_N}\right) > 0$, such that

$$\forall T < T_N, \sup_{t \leq T} \left\| u^{\varepsilon}(t,) \right\|_{m_N} \leq \tan\left(k_N \cdot T + \arctan\left(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{m_N} \right) \right) = M_T < +\infty$$

So, we obtain in (Eq I.4): $\forall m \ge m_N$, $|J_{\varepsilon} \nabla u^{\varepsilon}|_{L^{\infty}} \le CM_T$ (Eq I.5) Then, similarly to [6], ref (3.59), it follows from (Eq I.3) that: $\frac{d}{dt} ||u^{\varepsilon}||_m \le c_m^{(1)} M_T ||u^{\varepsilon}||_m + c_m^{(2)}$ Using Grönwall lemma, we obtain then:

$$\exists M'_{T} = M'(T, T_{N}, m) > 0, \ \sup_{t \in [0, T[} \|u^{\varepsilon}(t,)\|_{m} \leq M'_{T} \qquad (EqI.6)$$

i.e., likewise to the reference proof, it follows that, for all $m \ge m_N$, the families (u^{ε}) and (du^{ε}/dt) are both uniformly bounded in $C^0([0,T], H^m)$ and $C^0([0,T], H^{m-2})$ respectively, for all $T < T_N$.

The continuation of the proof is strictly the same as the reference proof, but always taking into account that the convergence towards the solution is obtained on $[0, T_N[$ with T_N (dependent only of N), for all $m \ge \left[\frac{N}{2}\right] + 2$.

Hence, T^* is also independent of m and the local solution belongs to

$$\bigcap_{m \ge m_N} \left(C^0 \left([0, T^*[, H^{m, f}] \cap C^1 \left([0, T^*[, H^{m-2, f}] \right) \right) = C^1 \left([0, T^*[, H^{\infty, f}] \right) \right)$$

The last results follow immediately from Energy theorem and Sobolev theorem

II. Globality-in-time of the maximal solution

Theorem 2.1: Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2, the solution u is defined and smooth on $[0, +\infty] \times \mathbb{R}^N$

proof of Theorem 2.1:

Let us assume now that $T^* < +\infty$ and let $T^* < T < +\infty$. Theorem 1.1.(Eq 1.1) gives us:

$$\int_{0}^{t} |\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(s,)|_{L^{2}}^{2} ds \leq \int_{0}^{t} |Pf(s,)|_{L^{2}} |u^{\varepsilon}(s,)|_{L^{2}} ds + \frac{1}{2} |u_{0}|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \forall t > 0$$

and then, using the energy bound $\sup_{t \ge 0} |u^{\varepsilon}(t,)|_{L^2} \le E_{(u_0,f)}$ and the inequality $|Pw|_{L^2} \le |w|_{L^2}$ [6], we obtain:

$$\int_{0}^{+\infty} |\nabla u^{\varepsilon}(s,)|_{L^{2}}^{2} ds \leq E_{(u_{0},f)} \int_{0}^{+\infty} |f(s,)|_{L^{2}} ds + \frac{1}{2} |u_{0}|_{L^{2}}^{2} = e_{(u_{0},f)}^{2} \quad (Eq2.1)$$

It follows that the sequences $(u^{\varepsilon}), \varepsilon > 0$ and $(\partial_{x_i}u^{\varepsilon}), i = 1, ...N, \varepsilon > 0$ are bounded in the Hilbert space $L^2(]0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, following the Alaoglu 's theorem, it exists $U:]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ and $U_{x_i}:]0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N, i = 1, ...N$ such that $(u^{\varepsilon}), \varepsilon > 0$ and $(\partial_{x_i}u^{\varepsilon}), i = 1, ...N, \varepsilon > 0$ weakly converge to U and $U_{x_i}, i = 1, ...N$, in $L^2(]0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^N)$ Furthermore, it is then clear that $U_{x_i} = \partial_{x_i}U, i = 1, ...N$ i.e $\nabla U = (U_{x_i})_{i=1,...N}$ and that $U(t_i) = u(t_i), \forall t < T^*$ (Eq2.2)

We have, for all 0 < t < T, U(t,) and $\nabla U(t,) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, so PU(t,) and $\widehat{\nabla U(t,)}$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and then:

$$P\left(U\left(t,\right).\nabla U\left(t,\right)\right) = PU\left(t,\right) * \widehat{\nabla U\left(t,\right)} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):$$

Let F_T the function defined by:

 $F_T(t,) = Pf(t,) - P(U(t,) \cdot \nabla U(t,))$ if $t \in [0, T[, = 0 \text{ if } t \notin [0, T[,$ then it follows from (Eq1.2) and (Eq 2.1) that:

$$|F_{T}|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} = \left| PU(t) * \widehat{\nabla U(t)} \right|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{N+1})} \leq |PU(t)|_{L^{2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N})} \cdot \left| \widehat{\nabla U} \right|_{L^{2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N})} \leq T. \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |U(t)|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{N})} \cdot |\nabla U|_{L^{2}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N})} \leq T. E(u_{0}f) \cdot e(u_{0}f)$$

Hence, F_T belongs to $L^{\infty}(]0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^N)$ and, furthermore, for all $t < T^*$, since then U(t,) = u(t,), we have $F_T(t,) = Pf(t,) - P(u(t,) . \nabla u(t,))$. Let now G the Gaussian kernel:

$$G(t,x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{N/2}} e^{-|x|^2/4\nu t} \text{ if } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, = 0 \text{ if } t \leq 0.$$

Following [1], [3], if $\overline{u_1} = G *_{(t,x)} (F_T)$ and $\overline{u_2} = G *_{(x)} (u_0 - \overline{u}_1(0,))$, then $\overline{u} = \overline{u}_1 + \overline{u}_2$ is solution to the Heat equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \nu \,\Delta w &= F_T(t, \) \ \forall t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ w \left(0, \right) &= u_0 \end{aligned}$$
 (2.3)

As, furthermore, all derivatives of u_0 are both smooth and bounded, it follows from properties of the Gaussian kernel that: (2.4) \overline{u} is smooth on $[0, T[\times \mathbb{R}^N [1].[3]]$

On $[0, T^*]$, we have U(t,) = u(t,) and then this equation is written

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \nu \,\Delta w &= Pf(t, \,) - P\left(u\left(t, \,\right) . \nabla u\left(t, \,\right)\right) \,\,\forall 0 < t < T^*, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ w\left(0, \,\right) &= u_0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, u, maximal solution to the Navier-Stokes equation, and \overline{u} are two solutions to the restriction to $]0, T^*[\times \mathbb{R}^N$ of the equation (Eq 2.3).

Let now $0 < T' < T^* < T$, k > 0 and $\Omega_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N, |x| < k\}$. It follows then from Theorem 1.2 and (2.4) respectively that, for all $t \in [0, T'[, u(t,) \text{ and } \overline{u}(t,) belong to <math>H^1_0(\Omega_k) = H^1(\Omega_k)$ since Ω_k is a bounded open set with a piecewise smooth boundary, [5].

We have then, for all $t \in [0, T']$:

 $(u - \overline{u})(t,) \in H_0^1(\Omega_k), \ \partial_t (u - \overline{u})(t, x) - \nu \Delta (u - \overline{u})(t, x) = 0, \ \forall x \in \Omega_k \text{ and } (u - \overline{u})(0,) = 0$ It follows then:

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega_{k}} \left(\partial_{t} \left(u - \overline{u} \right) - \nu \Delta \left(u - \overline{u} \right) \right) dx \, ds = \frac{1}{2} \left| \left(u - \overline{u} \right) \left(t, \right) \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{k})}^{2} + \nu \int_{0}^{t} \left| \nabla \left(u - \overline{u} \right) \left(t, \right) \right|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{k})}^{2} dt = 0$$

and hence: $u(t,x) = \overline{u}(t,x), \ \forall t < T', \forall x \in \Omega_k, \ \forall 0 < T' < T^*, \forall k > 0$

We have hence: $u(t,x) = \overline{u}(t,x)$, $\forall t \in [0, T^*[, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and from that we deduce then:}$

(i) u belongs to $C^{\infty}([0, T^*[\times \mathbb{R}^N)]$

(ii) u can be smoothly extended to $[0, T^*]$ setting $u(T^*,) = \overline{u}(T^*,)$ which contradicts the maximality of T^* and hence: $T^* = +\infty$. The proof is completed.

III. Optimality:

In the following classical decreasing sequence of functional work-spaces, in which we have obviously inserted the space H^{∞} : $H^m \supset H^{\infty} \supset S \supset D$, S is the largest space included in H^{∞} and we are going now to be interested in the following question : if the initial velocity u_0 belongs to S, does the only solution u to the Navier-Stokes equation belongs to $C^{\infty}([0, +\infty[, S^f)?, \text{this space being defined analogously to } C^{\infty}([0, +\infty[, H^{\infty, f}) (S \text{ is a nuclear space}).$

Unfortunately, We will see that such a refinement is impossible.

For that, We will first characterize the stability domain of the Leray's projector on S (Theorem 3.2) and next deduce from it the above impossibility.

The main theorem of this part is:

Theorem 3.1: (Optimality Theorem)

For any initial velocity u_0 in S^f , there exists external forces f in $S(0, +\infty)$ such that the only solution u to the associated Navier-Stokes equation does not belongs to the set $\{w \in C^{\infty}([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^N) / w(t)) \in S, \partial_t w(t)) \in S, \forall t \ge 0\}$ and the set of such external forces is dense in $S(0, +\infty)$.

Theorem 3.2 (Stability domain of the Leray projector): Let w in S, then its Leray's image Pw belongs to S if and only if all moments of its divergence are null.

Lemma 3.1: $w : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ belongs to S if and only if one of the following equivalent properties is verified:

(a) $x^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}w$ belongs to L^2 for all α, β in \mathbb{N}^N (b) $\partial^{\alpha}(x^{\beta}w)$ belongs to L^2 for all α, β in \mathbb{N}^N

Proof of lemma 3.1:

The equivalence of properties (a) and (b) follows immediately from the equivalence of the semi-norms systems $|w|^{(m)}$ and $|w|^{[m]} = \sup_{\max\{|\alpha|, |\beta|\} \leq m} |\partial^{\beta} (x^{\alpha} w)|_{L^{\infty}}$ (see[7]) If $w \in S$, we have $x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} w \in S \subset L^2$, $\forall \alpha, \beta$.

Reciprocally, let us assume that w verifies properties (a), (b) and let $\varphi = \widehat{w}$. We have then: $\partial^{\alpha} (x^{\beta} \varphi) = c_{\alpha,\beta} \widehat{x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} w} \in L^2$, $\forall \alpha, \beta$ and hence, it follows from Sobolev's theorem that: $\forall k \geq 0, \forall m \geq N_{l_{\alpha}} \pm k, \forall \beta, |\beta| \leq m \cdot x^{\beta} \varphi \in H^m \subset C_{\alpha}^k$ ([1])

$$\forall k \ge 0, \forall m > N/2 + k, \forall \beta, |\beta| \le m : x^{\beta} \varphi \in H^{m} \subset C_{0}^{\kappa} \quad ([1]$$

i.e:

$$\left|x^{\beta}\varphi\right|_{C_{0}^{k}} = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq k} \left|\partial^{\alpha}\left(X^{\beta}\varphi\right)\right|_{L^{\infty}} < c_{m,k} \left\|X^{\beta}\varphi\right\|_{m}, \forall \beta, |\beta| \leq m \qquad \text{and then:}$$

$$\begin{split} \sup_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq k} \left| \partial^{\alpha} \left(x^{\beta} \varphi \right) \right|_{L^{\infty}} &< c_{m,k} \sup_{|\beta| \leq k} \left\| x^{\beta} \varphi \right\|_{m} = c_{m,k} \sup_{|\beta| \leq k} \left\| x^{\beta} \widehat{w} \right\|_{m} \\ &= c'_{m,k} \sup_{|\beta| \leq k} \left\| \widehat{\partial^{\beta} w} \right\|_{m} \leq c''_{m,k} \sup_{|\beta| \leq k} \left(\sum_{|\gamma| \leq m} \left| x^{\gamma} \partial^{\beta} w \right|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty \end{split}$$

As k is arbitrary, we deduce that φ and then $w = \varphi^v$ belong to S.

Lemma 3.2:

$$\forall \alpha, \ \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{X^{\beta} X_{k}}{\left| X \right|^{2}} \right) = \frac{Q_{\alpha,\beta,k} \left(X \right)}{\left| X \right|^{2^{\left(\left| \alpha \right| + 1 \right)}}, \ \text{with} \ Q_{\alpha,\beta,k} \left(X \right) = \sum_{|\rho| = \left| \beta \right| + \left| \alpha \right| + 1} q_{\rho}^{\alpha,\beta,k} X^{\rho}$$

Proof of lemma 3.2:

We will proceed by induction on $|\alpha|$:

 $|\alpha| = 0$: the formula is trivially true.

Assume that, for one fixed k, the formula is correct for any α , $|\alpha| = k$. Let then α , $|\alpha| = k + 1$: $\alpha = \alpha^* + \delta_j$, with $|\alpha^*| = k$ and we have:

$$\partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} x_{k}}{\left| x \right|^{2}} \right) = \partial_{j} \partial^{\alpha^{*}} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} x_{k}}{\left| x \right|^{2}} \right) = \frac{\partial_{j} Q_{\alpha^{*},\beta,k} \left(x \right) \left| x \right|^{2} - Q_{\alpha^{*},\beta,k} \left(x \right) . 2^{|\alpha|} . 2x_{j}}{\left| x \right|^{2^{(|\alpha|+1)}}} = \frac{Q_{\alpha,\beta,k} \left(x \right)}{\left| x \right|^{2^{(|\alpha|+1)}}}$$

and it is clear that: $Q_{\alpha,\beta,k}\left(x\right) = \sum_{|\rho| = (|\alpha|) + |\beta| + 1} q_{\rho}^{\alpha,\beta,k} X^{\rho}$

Proof of Theorem 3.2

It follows from lemma III.1 that: $Pw \in S \Leftrightarrow x^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}Pw = x^{\alpha}P\partial^{\beta}w \in L^{2}, \forall \alpha, \beta$ Since $Pw = \left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}}\widehat{divw}\right)^{v}$ [2], and $\partial^{\beta}Pw = P\partial^{\beta}w$ [6], we obtain then:

$$Pw \in S \Leftrightarrow x^{\alpha} P \partial^{\beta} w \in L^{2} \Leftrightarrow \left(\partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{|x|^{2}} \widehat{div \partial^{\beta} w} \right) \right)^{\mathsf{v}} \in L^{2}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} \cdot x}{|x|^{2}} \widehat{div w} \right) \in L^{2} \text{ since } \widehat{div \partial^{\beta} w} = \widehat{\partial^{\beta} div w} = (-2i\pi x)^{\beta} \widehat{div w}$$

First, we can deduce from (II.1) that, for |x| > 1: $\left| \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} x_{k}}{|x|^{2}} \right) \widehat{div w}(x) \right| \leq \left| Q_{\alpha,\beta,k}(x) \widehat{div w}(x) \right|$ and hence:

$$1_{|x| \ge \delta} \partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} x_k}{|x|^2} \right) \widehat{div w} (x) \in L^2, \forall \delta > 0$$

On the other hand, we have the following alternative (a)/(b):

(a) There exists at least one moment $\int x^{\tau_0} div \, w \, dx$ of divw which is not null and without loss of generality, we can then choose τ_0 , such that $|\tau_0|$ is minimal. Hence, we have then:

$$\frac{\partial^{\tau_0} \hat{div} \, w \, (0) = (2i\pi)^{\tau_0} \int x^{\tau_0} div \, w \, dx = m_{\tau_0} \neq 0}{\partial^{\tau} \hat{div} \, w \, (0) = (2i\pi)^{\tau} \int x^{\tau} div \, w \, dx = 0, \, \forall \tau / \, |\tau| < |\tau_0|}$$

Let then $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha, 0)$, it follows from lemma 3.2 that, for $\tau_0 \leqslant \alpha$:

$$\partial^{\alpha-\tau_0}\left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2}\right) = \frac{Q_{\alpha-\tau_0,0,k}\left(x\right)}{|x|^{2^{(|\alpha|-|\tau_0|+1)}}}, \ Q_{\alpha-\tau_0,0,k}\left(X\right) = \sum_{|\rho|=|\alpha|-|\tau_0|+1} q_{\rho}^{\alpha,0,k} x^{\rho}$$

and hence we obtain, for $|x| < \delta << 1$:

$$\partial^{\alpha-\tau_{0}}\left(\frac{X_{k}}{|X|^{2}}\right)\partial^{\tau_{0}}\widehat{div\,w} \sim \frac{1}{r^{2^{(|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1)}}} \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1\\\rho|=|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1}} q_{\rho}^{\alpha,0,k}r^{|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1}T_{\rho}\left(\theta\right)m_{\tau_{0}}$$
$$\sim P_{\tau_{0}}\left(\theta\right)\,r^{|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1-2^{(|\alpha|-|\tau_{0}|+1)}}$$

where $T_{\alpha}(\theta)$ is the trigonometric polynomial such that:

$$x^{\alpha} = r^{|\alpha|} T_{\alpha}(\theta), \ \theta \in \Omega = \left\{ \theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_{N-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1} / - \pi/2 < \theta_1, ..., \theta_{N-2}, 0 < \theta_{N-1} < 2\pi \right\}$$
[7]

Hence, we have the integral convergence equivalence:

$$\int_{|x|<\delta} \left| \partial^{\alpha-\tau_0} \left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2} \right) \partial^{\tau_0} \widehat{div w} (x) \right|^2 dx \sim \int_{0 < r < \delta, \theta \in \Omega} \left(P_{\tau_0} (\theta) r^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1 - 2^{(|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1)}} \right)^2 \psi (\theta) r^{N-1} dr d\theta \\
= \int_{0 < r < \delta} r^{N+1+2\left(|\alpha| - |\tau_0| - 2^{(|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1)}\right)} dr \cdot \int_{\theta \in \Omega} P_{\tau_0} (\theta)^2 \psi (\theta) d\theta \quad (II.2)$$

It follows then that $\partial^{\alpha-\tau_0}\left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2}\right)\partial^{\tau_0}\widehat{div\,w}(x)$ belongs to L^2 if and only if

$$N + 1 + 2\left(|\alpha| - |\tau_0| - 2^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1}\right) \ge 0$$

and likewise for any $\tau_0' \leqslant \alpha \, / \, |\tau_0'| = |\tau_0| \,, \, m_{\tau_0'} \neq 0$

Let $|\alpha|$ minimal such that $N + 1 + 2(|\alpha| - |\tau_0| - 2^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1}) < 0$, we obtain: (i) For $\gamma = \tau'_0 + \rho \leq \alpha$:

$$N + 1 + 2\left(|\alpha| - |\tau_0' + \rho| - 2^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0' + \rho| + 1}\right) = N + 1 + 2\left(|\alpha - \rho| - |\tau_0|\right) - 2^{|\alpha - \rho| - |\tau_0| + 1} \ge 0$$

We have then convergence for the integral (II.2) which m_{γ} be null or not. (*ii*) For $\gamma \leq \alpha$, $|\gamma| \leq |\tau_0|$:

$$\sum_{\gamma \leqslant \alpha, |\gamma| \leqslant |\tau_0|} \partial^{\alpha - \gamma} \left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2} \right) \widehat{\partial^{\gamma} div w} (x) \sim \sum_{\gamma \leqslant \alpha, |\gamma| \leqslant |\tau_0|} r^{|\alpha| - |\gamma| + 1 - 2^{|\alpha| - |\gamma| + 1} + (|\tau_0| - |\gamma|)} P_{\gamma} (\theta)$$
$$\sim r^{|\alpha| - |\tau_9| + 1 - 2^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1}} \sum_{\substack{|t_0'| = |\tau_0|}} P_{\tau_0'} (\theta)$$

since $|\alpha| - |\gamma| + 1 - 2^{|\alpha| - |\gamma| + 1} + (|\tau_0| - |\gamma|) \ge |\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1 - 2^{|\alpha| - |\tau_0| + 1}$ ($a \to a - 2^a$ is decreasing) Hence:

$$\int_{|x|<\delta} \sum_{|\gamma|\leqslant|\tau_0|} \left| \partial^{\alpha-\gamma} \left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2} \right) \partial^{\gamma} \widehat{div w} (x) \right|^2 dx \sim \int_{|x|<\delta} \left| \partial^{\alpha-\tau_0} \left(\frac{x_k}{|x|^2} \right) \partial^{\tau_0} \widehat{div w} (x) \right|^2 dx$$

It follows then from the Leibniz formula that the integral $\int_{|x|<\delta} \left|\partial^{\alpha}\left(\frac{x^{\beta}x_{k}}{|x|^{2}}\widehat{divw}(x)\right)\right|^{2} dx$ is divergent and hence, from lemma II.1, we deduce: $\partial^{\alpha}\left(\frac{x_{k}}{|x|^{2}}\widehat{divw}(x)\right) \notin L^{2}$ and then $Pw \notin S$.

(b) $\int x^{\alpha} div \, w \, dx = 0, \, \forall \alpha$: We have then $\partial^{\alpha} \widehat{div w}(0) = 0, \, \forall \alpha$ and hence $\forall \alpha, \, \partial^{\rho} \left(\partial^{\alpha} \widehat{div w} \right)(0) = 0, \, \forall \rho$. It follows: $\partial^{\alpha} \widehat{div w}(x) = o\left(|x|^{m}\right), \, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{N}, \, \forall m \ge 0$ and hence, we obtain by the Leibniz formula:

$$\partial^{\alpha} \left(\frac{X^{\beta} . X}{\left| X \right|^{2}} \widehat{div w} \right)_{k} (x) = \sum_{\rho \leqslant \alpha} c_{\alpha,\rho} \partial^{\rho} \left(\frac{x^{\beta} . x_{k}}{\left| x \right|^{2}} \right) \, \partial^{\alpha} \widehat{div w} (x)$$
$$= \sum_{\rho \leqslant \alpha} c_{\alpha,\rho} \frac{Q_{\rho,\beta,k} (x)}{\left| x \right|^{2^{\left(\left| \alpha \right| + 1 \right)}}} \, \partial^{\alpha} \widehat{div w} (x) = o\left(\left| x \right|^{m} \right), \forall m$$

It follows then that $\partial^{\alpha}\left(\frac{X^{\beta}.X}{|X|^{2}}\widehat{divw}\right) \in L^{2}, \forall \alpha, \beta$ and lemma II.2.1 gives us: $Pw \in S$ which achieves the proof of theorem III.2

We have then the following immediate consequences:

Consequences 3.1: The Stability domain is a closed and meagre ([4]) strict vector subspace of S which is stable by derivation and such as:

$$\forall v \in st(P), \forall w \in S, v * w \in st(P)$$

We can now prove the optimality theorem 3.1 :

Let $(u_0, f) \in S^f \times S(0, +\infty)$ and let us assume that the solution u to the associated Navier-Stokes equation belongs to $\{w \in C^{\infty} ([0, +\infty[\times \mathbb{R}^N) / w(t)) \in S, \partial_t w(t)) \in S, \forall t \ge 0\}$. We have then: $P(u \cdot \nabla u - f)(t,) = \nu \Delta u(t,) - \partial_t u(t,) \in S$ and, in particular for t = 0:

$$P\left(u_0.\nabla u_0 - f\left(0,\right)\right) \in S$$

It follows then from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\int x^{\alpha} div \, \left(u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 - f\left(0,\right)\right) dx = 0, \forall \alpha$$

and this non-trivial condition contradicts the assumed independence between the initial velocity and the external force and, so, proves the first assertion.

Let us assume that u is solution in S in the sens given in the above theorem for the constraint (u_0, f) and then that: $\int x^{\alpha} div \ (u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 - f(0,)) \, dx = 0, \forall \alpha$. Let then for example $g \in S(0, +\infty)$ defined by $g(t, x) = e^{-t - |x|^2}, \ \varepsilon > 0, \ f_{\varepsilon} = f + \varepsilon g$ and $\alpha = (1, 0, ...0)$:

$$\int x^{\alpha} div \ (u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 - f_{\varepsilon}(0,)) \ dx = \underbrace{\int x^{\alpha} div \ (u_0 \cdot \nabla u_0 f(0,)) \ dx}_{=0} - \varepsilon \int x_1 div \ g(0,) \ dx \neq 0$$

Hence, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is no solution in S for the constraint (u_0, f_{ε}) and, furthermore,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} (u_0, f_{\varepsilon}) = (u_0, f) \text{ in } S^f \times S(0, +\infty)$$

which proves the density.

References

- G.B Folland. Introuction to Partial Differential Equations. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1995.
- [2] I Gallagher and T Gallais. Equations de la Mécanique des Fluides, Institut Fourier, Grenoble, 2017.
- [3] F. John. Partial Differential Equations. Springer Verlagt, New-York, 1991.
- [4] G. Köthe. Topological Vector Spaces II. Springer Verlagt, New-York, 1979.
- [5] J.L Lions. Problèmes aux Limites dans les Equations aux Dérivées Partielles. Presses Universitaires de Montréal, Montréal, 1965.
- [6] A.J Majda and A.L Bertozzi. Vorticity and Incompressible Flow. Cambridge Text in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge, 2002.
- [7] L Schwartz. Cours d'Analyse. Ecole Polytechnique, Ed. Hermann, Paris, 1972.
- [8] Vo-Khac-Khoan. Distributions, Analyse de Fourier, Opérateurs aux Dérivées Partielles. Ed. Vuibert, Paris, 1972.